



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,352 10/09/2001		Yandi Ongkojoyo	5264		
7590 01/06/2005			EXAMINER		
DHARMAHUSADA PERMAI XII / N - 405			DIVECHA, KAMAL B		
SURABAYA, JAWA TIMUR, 60116 INDONESIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/973	,352	ONGKOJOYO, YA	ANDI			
		Examir	ier	Art Unit				
		KAMAL	B. DIVECHA	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply								
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ansions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and l. by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (30 if will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	be timely filed  i) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this coonsidered (35 U.S.C. § 133)	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 October 2</u> 0	<u>001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from o						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the factorial The drawing(s) filed on 10/09/2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the the oath or declaration is objected to be	re: a)⊠ accepte on to the drawing(s e correction is req	) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 Cf	• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) [ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docu Il Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appli ments have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20011009</u> .		Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTC	<b>)-152</b> )			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed on 10/09/2001 has been considered.

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed features 1-7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,352 Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

### Sp cification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the net" is unclear to the examiner.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As per claim 1, the disclosure fails to provide any meaningful hardware/software components necessary to implement the virtual reality environment where a user can use "any operating system" to display the virtual environment (note: M. S. D.O.S 3.0 version is an operating system).

As per claim 2, the claimed subject matter of building web sites with virtual reality environment is not disclosed or described in the specification. Disclosure fails to teach how the web sites would have been generated or built.

Further, the disclosed limitations in the body of the claim 2 do not support the preamble of claim 2.

As per claim 3, the disclosure fails to show the input and output means as claimed subject matter.

Claim 4 is rejected due to its dependency on claim 1.

As per claim 5-7, the disclosure fails to teach and show the user verification means and means for allowing multiple users to interact.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claim 1 recites the limitation "the web" in the preamble. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
  - Claim 4 recites the limitation "the system" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
  - Claim 5 recites the limitation "said program" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
  - Claim 6 recites the limitation "said program" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

• Claim 7 recites the limitation "said program" and "said user" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

As per claims 3 and 5-7, they contain brackets, and words within those brackets, are in improper form. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon the invention anticipated by Matsuda et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,268,872 B1).

Matsuda et al discloses:

As per claim 1, A method for displaying a virtual reality environment on the web comprising the steps of: (a) downloading virtual reality web application (read as script program and VRML file) (fig. 5 item #2 and #10b); (b) downloading virtual environment data (read as VRML contents) (fig. 5 item #2 and #10b); (c) displaying virtual reality environment using a browser; whereby user can use any operating system to display the virtual environment (fig. 17, 18 and 19; fig. 3 item #1; col. 5 L60-67).

As per claim 4, a computer program product having a computer readable medium having computer program logic recorded thereon, as a part of the system of claim 1, comprising means for displaying virtual reality environment (col. 5 L60-67); means for building virtual reality web

Art Unit: 2151

sites or virtual environment (col. 6 L24-65); and means for delivering the virtual environment via Internet (col. 5 L18-23).

As per claim 6, the computer program of claim 4 wherein said program further comprises means (an interaction system) for allowing multiple users to interact each other (fig. 18, multiuser window)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Matsuda et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,268,872 B1) in view of Tokiwa (U. S. Pub No. 2002/0010635 A1).

As per claim 5, Matsuda et al., does not explicitly disclose the process of conversationlike password verification system for user verification.

Tokiwa explicitly discloses the process of password verification process (pg. 1, para. 0019; pg. 3 para. 0049).

At the time invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the process of Tokiwa as stated above with the system and method of Matsuda in order to provide user verification.

The motivation for doing so would have been so that a secure communication would have taken place blocking unauthorized users from accessing the information and preventing personal information from leaking (Tokiwa, pg. 5, para. 67; pg. 1, para. 7-15).

12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Matsuda et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,268,872 B1) in view of Shiloh (U. S. Pub No. 2001/0037316 A1).

As per claim 7, Matsuda does not explicitly disclose the means (an interaction system) for allowing a user to assign a virtual entity to represent said user.

Shiloh et al explicitly discloses the process of assigning a virtual entity to real users for Internet activity (pg. 6 para. 47; pg. 2 para 18, 19 and 20).

At the time invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Shiloh as stated above with the system and method of Matsuda for the purpose of interacting with a communication networks.

The motivation for doing so would have been to enhance user activity on a large communication network for effective interaction on the Internet, the real users would have remained anonymous while interacting freely on the Internet and engaging in activities such as surfing, e-mailing, shopping and chatting. This would have also provided the ability to interact with the Internet privately and efficiently (Shiloh, pg. 2 para. 18).

- 13. Claims 2 and 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Matsuda et al.
- (U. S. Patent No. 6,268,872 B1) in view of Tokiwa (U. S. Pub No. 2002/0010635 A1) and further in view of Goden (U. S. Patent No. 6,426,752 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,352 Page 8

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 2, Matsuda discloses the process of building a general web site with virtual reality environment (col. 6 L24-39; fig. 12), however, Matsuda does not explicitly disclose the process of building e-commerce web sites and online-game web sites.

Tokiwa, from the same field of endeavor, explicitly discloses building and providing ecommerce web site with virtual reality environment (fig. 3; pg. 1 para. 18 and 26), however, Tokiwa does not explicitly disclose the process of building online-game web site.

Goden, from the same field of endeavor, explicitly discloses the game device that permits generation of images of objects moving through a virtual space (see abstract; fig. 2; col. 4 L28-38).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Tokiwa and Goden as stated above in order to obtain a system for building e-commerce and online-game web sites.

The motivation for doing so would have been beacuse browsing the web with virtual environment would have enabled may different activities such as online research, surfing, playing games, downloading and uploading files, experiencing multimedia presentations, online chats and instant messaging, and engaging in electronic commerce.

As per claim 3, Matsuda discloses first means (input devices) for acquiring input from users (fig. 4 item #42 and #41); and second means (output devices) for displaying information (fig. 4 item #45).

#### Additional References

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/973,352 Page 9

Art Unit: 2151

disclosure.

a. Matsui et al., U. S. Patent No. 5,956,028.

b. Satoh et al., U. S. Patent No. 6,675,197 B1.

c. Matsuda et al., U. S. Patent No. 5,926,179.

d. Ibarra et al., U. S. Patent No. 6,539,406 B1.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAMAL B. DIVECHA whose telephone number is 571-272-5863. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00am-5.30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER